GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH <u>ABSTRACT</u>

Land Acquisition – Ranga Reddy District – Rajendranagar Mandal – Acquisition of land for construction of Police Commando Training Center to an extent of 09.10 acres in Sy. Nos. 362, 373 and 374 at Manchirevula of Ranga Reddy District duly conducting enquiry under section 5-A of the L.A. Act – Objections of the interested parties - Rejected - Orders – Issued.

HOME (PS&C) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Ms.No.280

Dated:12-12-2013
Read the Following:-

- 1. From the District Collector, R.R. District, Lr.No.G2/3012/2012, dt:19-09-2012.
- 2. G.O.Ms.No.13, Home (PS&C) Dept., dt:19.01.2013.
- 3. From the District Collector, R.R. District, Lr.No.G2/3012/2012, dt:17-07-2013.

@@@@

ORDER:-

The Collector & District Magistrate, Ranga Reddy, in the letter 1st read above, has stated that the Special Grade Deputy Collector, LA(Inds), Hyderabad, has submitted proposals for acquisition of land in Sy.Nos. 362, 373 & 374 to an extent of Acrs 9.10 gts situated in Manchirevula Village of Rajendranagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District on the requisition of the Additional Director General of Police, Greyhounds, Hyderabad for the purpose of development of Police Commando Training Center in Greyhounds Campus.

- 2. In this regard, the District Collector, has stated that the Government issued notification delegating powers U/s 3 (c) of L.A. Act, in G.O., 494, Revenue (LA) Department, dt:02.08.2012, appointing the Special Grade Deputy Collector, LA (Inds), Hyderabad, as LAO to perform the functions of the Collector, under the said Act for the above purpose.
- 3. Government after careful examination of the proposal of the Collector & District Magistrate, Ranga Reddy vide letter 1st read above, have issued orders approving the DN U/s 4(1) of the LA Act 1894 in respect of the said land bearing Sy.Nos.362, 373 & 374 to an extent of Acrs 9.10 gts situated in Manchirevula Village of Rajendranagar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District, vide G.O.Ms.No.13, Home (PS&C) Dept., dt:19.01.2013.
- 4. Subsequently, the District Collector, Ranga Reddy, in the letter 3rd read above, has stated that in pursuance of the G.O.Ms.No.13, Home (PS&C) Dept., dt:19.01.2013, the Special Deputy Collector, LA (Industries), Hyderabad has submitted the Enquiry Report U/s 5-A of the L.A. Act in respect of Sy.Nos.362, 373 & 374, to an extent of Ac.09-10 gts., of Manchirevula Village of Rajedranagar Mandal along with the objections raised by the affected parties and necessary remarks thereon, for orders of the Government.
- 5. Government, have examined carefully each of the objections /claims filed by the interested parties against acquisition of the land to an extent of 9.10 acres in Sy. Nos. 362, 373 and 374 at Manchirevula of Ranga Reddy District for construction of Police Commando Training Centre with reference to the reports and records made available and the objections/claims of the interested parties are hereby rejected for the reasons / grounds specified in Columns No.5, 6 and 7 of the ANNEXURE appended.

(P.T.O.)

The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District shall take necessary further action accordingly in the matter.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

T.P. DAS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To

The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District.

Copy to:-

The Director General of Police, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.
The Director General of Police (Operations), Grey Hounds, Hyderabad

The LAO (Industries) Hyderabad.

The Revenue (Assn) Department.

// FORWARDED :: BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER

Annexure to G.O.Ms.No.280, Home (PS&C) Department, dated:12.12.2013.

Sl.	Name of the claimant	Sy.	Gist of the claim / Objection	Remarks of the Requisition Dept.	Remarks of the Land Acquisition	Remarks of the	Decision of the
No.		No. &			Officer	Collector	Govt.,
		Extent					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	1. Vishwanth	362,	1. That the Grey Hounds	1. That the objection of the land	With regards to objection No.1 the	The LAO shall	Considering the
	Afzalpurkar	373 &		owners is without any substance and	Requisition Dept., has clearly	finalise the LA	objection with
	2. Vilas V.	374	(GHCTC) was established in survey	merits no consideration. The land	offered remarks with cogent reason	proceedings as	reference to the
	Afsal Purkar		number 393 and its sub numbers	owners have raised this objection	stating that the GHCTC has to train	per the guidelines	remarks at
	3. Smt. Neelima V. Afzal		admeasuring more than 323 Acrs is	without knowing the nature of	the Commandos in such an	under LA Act.	Column.No.s 5,
	Purkar		not utilizing fully. Apart from this		atmosphere to tackle the enemy in		6 and 7 and
	4. Bharat Ramani, S/o late Sri		in the year 1999 and 2003 more		forest etc., apart from firing range		reports of the
	Mohanlal Ramani,		than 15 Acrs of land is also	force. Here the training methodology	has to be established in a proper		concerned
	5. Smt. Indumathi Ved Prakash,		acquired for the same purpose.	/system is different to that of other	way to counter the enemy tactics		authorities,
	W/o Dr. Ved Prakash		When already 340 Acrs of land is	wings of Police Department. The	and therefore need more land, as		Government,
	Represented By		available with the GHCTC, the	main aim of organization is to train	such the acquisition of the propose		hereby reject
	T.S. Praveen Kumar (Advocate)		requisitioning department has to	the Commandos in jungle warfare. To	land of Ac.9.10 gt. is very much		the objection.
	Counsel on behalf their		give cogent reasons for further	• •	essential.		
	petitioners		requisition and acquisition of land.	to cross so many obstacles like hills,			
	1. A.Narsimha Reddy, S/o			valleys, rivers and etc during the	In view of the above said cogent		
	Late A.Narayana Reddy.			operations. For this reason GHTCC	reasoned answer to the objection		
	2. C.Sucharitha,			has to train commandos in such an	No.1 given by the Requisition		
				atmosphere to tackle the enemy in			
	3. K.Krishnakanth reddy,			forest, for which we need to maintain	over ruled.		
	4. K.Bharathi Reddy,			the same obstacles replicating the			
	5. P. Govandhan Reddy,			jungle atmosphere. Further, GHCTC			
	6. K.Prasanna,			firing range has to be established in a			
	7. Ramen Sinha,			proper way to counter the enemy			
	8. Dr. Sundar lal,			tactics. Therefore we need more land			
	9. Iffath Ahmedi,			to develop such firing standards and			
	10. Haleema begum,			to develop training infrastructure.			
	11. Shruthi ponnala,			Therefore the acquisition of the			
	12. Hameed Ali Khan Nayar,			proposed Ac.9.10 Gts of the land is			
	13. Ranjith Singh,			very much essential and the objection			
	14. Pradeep Mohan Lal,			raised by the land owners is devoid of			
	15. S.Devender Reddy,			merit.			

16. Ajay Sawney, 17. Micheal Sanjivi, 18. Tresa Louis, 19. G. Madhavi Reddy, 20. Shravan Bhoomi Reddy, 21. G.Radha Charan Reddy, 22. Jamalpuri Um Devi, 23. Jitender rai vohar, (Regd. GPA by Ashish Narayan), 24. P. Rajashekhar Reddy. 25. Syed Mehdi 26. Dr.Mukund Narayan. 27. J.Chandrashekar Reddy; Represented By: K.P.Jogan Mohan reddy (advocate) Counsel on behalf their petioners.	2. The present acquisition notification has been issued by deleting the extent of 1-Acre land in survey no 362/4 of Mr. Zaheer the chairman of Russels Spoken English, which is in the middle of the proposed acquisition land, if the said building is not proposed to be acquired, how can the land which is on the southern side of the building acquired. 3. In the present notification, no buildings are notified, only open land with number of trees to be acquired, that means the said building has not been proposed to be acquired the authority has to specifically explain and give cogent reasons for acquiring the said building and proceeding to acquire the surrounding land.	under process of acquisition action is	With regard to objection No.2 & 3 and as answered by the Requisition Dept., in the remarks offered the surrounded land of Ac.1.00 gts. along with the building is being processed for acquisition, as such the objections raised may be over ruled.	finalise the LA proceedings as per the guidelines	Considering the objection with reference to the remarks at Column.Nos 5, 6 and 7 and reports of the concerned authorities, Government, hereby reject the objections.
	4. The Govt. has issued GO.Ms.No.470 MA, dated 09-07-2008 under which the Hyderabad ORR Growth Corridor and Special Development Regulations have been issued. In this said GOs that 1 KMs on either side from the outer edge of ORR has been notified as Growth Corridor and as a crow flies, these areas are at a distance of one Km from the land proposed to be acquired. Even otherwise such acquisition in the vicinity of the	ORR (i;e more than 1.723 Km from	Regarding objection No.4 raised and as answered by the Requisition Dept., in the remarks offered, the notified land is out of the purview of G.O.Ms.No.470, dt:9.7.2008. Hence, the objection may be over ruled.	The LAO shall finalise the LA proceedings as per the guidelines of LA Act.	Considering the objection with reference to the remarks at Column.Nos 5, 6 and 7 and reports of the concerned authorities, Government, hereby reject the objection.

 1	·				
	ORR GC for such purpose cannot be permitted. The ORR has been passing near the GHCTC gate, how				
	can the GHCTC be allowed to				
	acquire land in violation of the GO				
	Ms NO 470 MA dated: 09-07-2008				
	for unknown reasons.				
	5. Pursuant to the judgment of	The Hon'ble High Court, of A.P.,	Regarding the objection No.5 and	The LAO shall	Considering the
	Hon'ble High Court of AP, Hyd. in	Hyd. held that the land acquisition	the cogent reasoned answer given	finalise the LA	objection with
	WA.Nos.993,994,997,1001, 1002,	initiated earlier lapsed for not	by the Requisition Dept., in the	proceedings as	reference to the
	1010 of 2011 (Common Judgment),	publishing declaration under section 6	remarks offered it is not the fact	per the guidelines	remarks at
	the petitioners objected that," It is	of the LA act 1894 within the	that the Hon'ble High Court has not	of the LA Act.	Column.Nos 5,
	settled law that there cannot be	prescribed time. The Hon'ble	made null and void the earlier		6 and 7 and
	repeated acquisition of the same	Division Bench in rendered <i>judgment</i>	proceedings of acquisition, but in		reports of the
	land, when it was declared null and	in WA.Nos.993,994,997,1001,1002,	the common judgment it is held that		concerned
	void at the earlier point of time for	1010 of 2011(Common Judgment)	the declaration U/s. 6 of the L.A.		authorities,
	same necessity of acquisition".	and opined that declaration under	Act, has not been issued within one		Government,
		section 6 of the LA act 1894 had not	year from the date of notification		hereby reject
		been issued within one year from the	issued U/s. 4(1) of the Act, and		the objection.
		date of the notification issued under	thereby the acquisition proceedings		
		the section $4(1)$ of the act and there	lapsed. However the Hon'ble Court		
		by the acquisition process became	did not prohibit the acquisition of		
		lapse. But the Hon'ble court not	the land by initiating afresh L.A.		
		prohibited from issuing further	proceedings.		
		notification. Further acquisition of	Hence, the objection in view of		
		the notified land is essential to	the common judgment that there		
		develop the commando training	can not be repeated acquisition of		
		centre.	the same land does not arise, as		
			such the objection may be over		
			ruled.		
	6. There is a vast Govt. land on the	The Northern side lands are under	The Requisition Dept., has rightly	The LAO shall	Considering the
	northern side of the hillock, the	process of acquisition and the present	deposed the objection on the plea	finalise the LA	objection with
	northern side of hillock can be	notified land acquisition is based on	that the present land acquisition is	proceedings as	reference to the
	utilized if really there is any need.	need and suitability only and as this	based on the need and suitability	per the guidelines	remarks at
	After consuming and utilizing the	part of land is suitable for the Grey	only for Commando Training	of the LA Act.	Column.Nos 5,
		Hounds Commando training centre.	Centre and that the Northern side		6 and 7 and

they may so in fam Coulting		vest Covernment land see also		
they may go in for further acquisition because as of now that		vast Government land are also under process of acquisition /		reports of the concerned
situation has not arisen.				authorities,
situation has not arisen.		alienation. Hence, the objection		·
		may be over ruled.		Government,
				hereby reject
7 777	771 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	A 1 1 1 1	TT1 T A O 1 11	the objection .
7. When the entire area is already		As reasoned answered to the	The LAO shall	Considering the
developed into a residential area,	was developed as plots intentionally	objection No.7 given in the remarks	finalise the LA	objection with
	by the petitioners, though they are	by the Requisition Dept., is true, as	proceedings as	reference to the
additional allocation in the middle		the so called tower will not attract	per the guidelines	remarks at
of the residential area. Further 4-5		the purview of the GHCTC firing	under LA Act.	Column.Nos 5,
years back it was published in the		range. Hence, the objection may be		6 and 7 and
	news paper item there is no authentic	over ruled.		reports of the
	source to say that the Reliance is			concerned
tower on the southern side of				authorities,
1 1	so called tower will not come under			Government,
	the purview of the GHCTC firing			hereby reject
with Reliance group. Further the	range.			the objection.
reliance group paid Rs.180 crores				
for a building a tower in the first				
phase. This goes to show that the				
Manchirevula village has been				
proposed to be developed in to a				
commercial/residential zone. In this				
contest establishment of a firing				
range for GHCTC would cause				
tremendous prejudice to all the				
commercial establishment/				
residential areas and people residing				
there in. in view of all these things,				
there is no necessity for acquiring				
of additional land and proceeding				
with the notification dated 22-05-				
2010.				

8. That Some Police department and educational institutions, individual etc., whose lands were lost in the road widening for ORR are provided alternate land elsewhere. Instead of enquiring the petitioners land here and going for search similar proposal, it would be better if GHCTC is a shifted from this commercial/residential area and put up at some other place where there is other obstruction.	The petitioners have no right to say that the GHCTC may be shifted. The establishment of GHCTC requires more than 50000/crores of rupees as on today with the market price. Therefore it is not feasible to shift the already established GHCTC as contended by the petitioners herein to avoid the acquisition of their lands.	The objection of the petitioners / claimants with regard to shifting of GHCTC has rightly answered in the remarks offered by the Requisition Dept., as the objection petitioners / claimants have no rights to question for shifting of GHCTC to Requisition Authority being the Government organization and where as the lands under present acquisition are essentially required on point of suitability. Therefore, the objection may be over ruled.	The LAO shall finalise the LA proceedings as per the guidelines under LA Act.	Considering the objection with reference to the remarks at Column.Nos 5, 6 and 7 and reports of the concerned authorities, Government, hereby reject the objection .
9. Abutting the AP Police academy and the GHCTC and the land of the objection petitioners, there exists a vast extant of reserve forest where there are several habitats, protected wild life species i.e. Deer park established, at present the GHCTC using the AK-47 and SLR weapons which produces louder noise is highly detrimental, injurious and terrifying the wild life in the reserve forest. Continuation of such fire lines would seriously upset the wild life protection Act. Continuation of GHCTC present location itself is detrimental, leave alone its expansion in any form.	Since the inception establishment of GHCTC in Manchirevula village no such complaint was received till date about the damage to the eco-system in the neighborhood. In fact, due to the presence of high security campus in the vicinity no outsiders, ventures are trespass. As a result the flora and fauna in the vicinity of Grey Hounds is flourishing. The same was certified by the wild life management division Hyderabad.	With regard to the objection the Requisition Dept., has replied in the remarks offered that no complaint about the damage to the eco-system in the neighbourhood till date and in fact due to presence of high security in the visinity of campus no out sideres, ventures are trespassing and this has been certified by the Wild Life Management Division Hyderabad, therefore the objections are not tenable and as such may be over ruled. From the above it is thus clear that the Requisition Authority have categorically answered with cogent reasons on each objection raised by all the	The LAO shall finalise the LA proceedings as per the guidelines under LA Act.	Considering the objection with reference to the remarks at Column.Nos 5, 6 and 7 and reports of the concerned authorities, Government, hereby reject the objection .

	claimants /objection petitioners	
	and the lands under present	
	acquisition are also said to be	
	essentially required by the	
	Requisition Dept., for	
	Greyhounds (Cammando	
	Training Center) in	
	Manchirevula Village of	
	Rajendranagar mandal in Ranga	
	Reddy Dist., Therefore, all the	
	objections of the claimants may	
	be over ruled being untenable	
	and the present acquisition of	
	the lands may be processed	
	with and finalise as per L.A.	
	Act.	

T.P. DAS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

SECTION OFFICER